

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 BASKIM HOLDINGS, INC.,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 TWO M, INC.,

8 Defendant.  
9

Case No. 2:16-cv-01898-APG-GWF

**ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME**

(ECF No. 35)

10 Plaintiff Baskim Holdings, Inc. moves to extend the time to respond to defendant Two M,  
11 Inc.'s motion for summary judgment. Two M did not oppose that motion. I therefore grant it  
12 under Local Rule 7-2(d). Moreover, Baskim has shown that it needs further discovery to respond  
13 to Two M's motion. Specifically, Baskim has shown it must take further discovery to obtain  
14 evidence about Two M's claimed use of the trademark in relation to Two M's asserted defenses.  
15 See ECF No. 35 and attached exhibits; Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d).

16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Baskim Holdings, Inc.'s motion to extend  
17 time **(ECF No. 35) is GRANTED**. Plaintiff Baskim Holdings, Inc. shall have until April 27,  
18 2017 to respond to defendant Two M, Inc.'s motion for summary judgment.

19 DATED this 31st day of March, 2017.

20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28